#### H2Boost Phase 2

H2Boost Industrial Webinar:

Innovative Processes and technologies for producing biohydrogen for the UK.

> abori CM90 S CYANOCAPTURE Scalable carbon capture technology

UNIVERSITY OF LEEDS

Development Centre

Funded by Department for Energy Security & Net Zero

**Maltings** 

reatmer



# Agenda

- Welcome
- Introduction H2Boost Project Debs Rathbone
- Biorenewables Development Centre, Alex Jukes
- QUBE Renewables Ltd, James Rundell
- University of Leeds, Miller Alonso Camargo-Valero
- Cyanocapture, David Evans
- CM90 Ltd, Chris Corner
- NNFCC, David Turley
- Q&A



### H2Boost: a Hydrogen BECCS Innovation Programme Project

Department for Energy Security & Net Zero

#### Introduction to the H2Boost project

#### Dr Deborah Rathbone

Bioscience Innovation Team Leader Biorenewables Development Centre





CM90





The Bioeconomy Consultants







Department for Business, Energy & Industrial Strategy



- Identify and map available wastes / feedstocks in England
  - Household food waste
  - Paper and card
  - Arable crop residues
  - Cattle manures and slurries
  - Poultry wastes: feathers and litter
  - Fisheries residues
  - Food manufacturing residues
  - Conservation sites/grass verges
  - Forestry waste

#### Mapping wastes and AD plants

Data from:

- Local authorities (WasteDataFlow database)
- WRAP reports
- Defra surveys
- Natural England
- Forestry Commission



https://www.virtualthymeregion.com/maps

Yorkshire & Humber, East Midlands, Eastern England and the South East (excluding London)

- Identify and map available wastes / feedstocks in England
- Assess bio-hydrogen production from pre-treated feedstocks (dark fermentation; DF)

- ✤ Inoculum preparation
- Pre-treatment of feedstocks / enzyme addition
- Production of H<sub>2</sub>
- Microbial profiling

#### Dark fermentation: H<sub>2</sub> production

Individual feedstocks and combinations:

- Gas yield & composition
- Volatile fatty acid composition
- Microbial profile



#### Example of H<sub>2</sub> production using food waste

- Identify and map available wastes / feedstocks in England
- Assess bio-hydrogen production from pre-treated feedstocks (dark fermentation; DF)
- Assess biomethane potential of DF residues

- ✤ Production of CH<sub>4</sub>
- Digestate characterisation

#### Anaerobic digestion: CH<sub>4</sub> production

Residue from DF used as feedstock for AD:

- Gas yield & composition
- Volatile fatty acid composition



Use of dark fermentation residue in AD

- Identify and map available wastes / feedstocks in England
- Assess bio-hydrogen production from pre-treated feedstocks (dark fermentation; DF)
- Assess biomethane potential of DF residues
- Carbon capture
- Design of potential plant and commercial feasibility
- Biohydrogen utilization routes and methodologies

- ✤ Growth of microalgae
- Plant design
- CAPEX
- OPEX
- Technoeconomic analysis (TEA)
- Carbon calculator / Life cycle analysis (LCA)

#### H2Boost phase 2: Demonstration scale Fuel cell \$\$ Department for Heat/power Enzymes **Energy Security** & Net Zero Η, **CO**<sub>2</sub> Feedstock CH₄ **CO**<sub>2</sub> Inoculum Project value: £5m **Timelines:** Jul 2023 – Mar 2025 **Dark fermentation** 2 x 6,000 L Anaerobic digestion 40,000 L Algae, cyanobacteria

#### H2Boost phase 2: Project activities

Lab scale R&D

- Inoculum development
- Feedstock pre-treatment / enzymes
- Dark fermentation
- Anaerobic digestion
- Algae & cyanobacteria strain evaluation & growth systems
- Planning & permitting
- Design, build & installation
- Performance testing
- Hydrogen clean up
- CO<sub>2</sub> separation
- Supply chain analysis and market engagement
  - Sustainability analysis and development

Demonstration scale build and testing

- Industry dissemination workshops
- Project management / engineering project management

- Supply chain attractiveness
- Preferred scale to match supply chains



- TEA
- Regulatory & policy considerations
- April & September 2024
- January 2025





















# H2Boost at the BDC

Presented by Alex Jukes



# Method



Optimise process conditions

# Inoculum



# Heat treatment

- Started with autoclave treatments
- Success with this method in phase 1 (small volume)
- Tested with food waste digestate, removed methane potential but very little hydrogen produced
- Farm digestate gave promising results but high variability, from 0% h2 to 35% (biogas content)



# Heat treatment

- Moved on to treatments using a 100L high temperature, stirred vessel with a temp probe situated in the material.
- Tested a range of temperatures and durations 90-120°C, 30-60 mins.
- Dark fermentation in the batch system was carried out with either glucose (control) or food waste soup.



# Feedstock



Changing the inoculum to sewage sludge, with the same treatment conditions has allowed us to increase the h2 produced in the Maltings blend to 184 mL h2/ g VS.

Paper manufacturing waste was subject to enzyme treatment.

# Next steps



- Move from batch experiments to continuous for the demo plant feedstock
- Continue testing other feedstocks at batch scale
- Comparison of microwave treatments against the current heat treatment for inoculum preparation













#### SMALL SCALE AD TECHNOLOGY CONVERTING YOUR WASTES INTO RENEWABLE ENERGY

H2Boost Industry Workshop 1 April 25.04.2024

#### **OUR HISTORY**

- 2011 MOD requested an AD solution for Forward Operating Bases in Afghanistan as fuel costs were over \$200 per litre.
- Aardvark EM undertook a desk top study and were unable to find anything on the market.
- Aardvark EM and Loglogic Limited built the first digester that digester is still producing biogas today and is run here as our demo and trials unit, and Loglogic still host our factory.
- In 2013 QUBE Renewables Ltd was established and has since developed other biogas technologies and deployed projects around the world.









#### QUBE Renewables Ltd design and build small scale local embedded biogas energy generating systems

#### QUBE VIEWS BIODEGRADABLE WASTES NOT AS A PROBLEM BUT AS A VALUABLE RESOURCE





bio**QUBE** 



quick**QUBE** 



power**QUBE** 



fugi**QUBE** 



dry**QUBE** 



lagoon**QUBE** 



batch**QUBE** 







#### **BESPOKE SYSTEMS FOR RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT**

Design and manufacture of bespoke systems for clients who wish to test different feedstocks

- Digestion parameters and methodologies
- Additives or pre-treatments
- Containerised design with individual digestion tanks to enable replicates
- Test rig systems with full analytic capability
- Laboratory facilities with real time remote access and analytic ability
- Full feed system for variety of waste inputs
- Comprehensive data collection platform



## H2Boost Design Brief



- Container based Biohydrogen generation system
- Following the modular approach QUBE adopts for large multinational builds
  - Factory built and commissioned, agile design
  - Plug and play controls
  - Minimal on-site installation
- Integration with third parties for specialist technologies around hydrogen upgrading and carbon sequestration









Anaerobic digester unit for biogas production

Dark Fermenter unit for bio-hydrogen production



Biogas treatment pod

Piping and valves

Control room

#### **BioResource Systems Research Group: What are we looking for?**

Meaningful answers to unsolved problems in fundamental science that will lead to greater understanding of biochemical processes and potential engineering solutions to global challenges.



#### Simultaneous carbon, nitrogen and phosphorus uptake by microalgae



Regulation of polyphosphate metabolism in Chlamydomonas and potential for exploitation as a P sink in nutrient recovery systems. BBSRC (BB/N016033/1), £500k (2016-2020)

<sup>1</sup>Baker A, <sup>2</sup>Camargo-Valero M A, <sup>1</sup>Chu L, <sup>3</sup>Wood N, <sup>1</sup>Slocombe S, <sup>2</sup>Zuniga-Burgos T.
<sup>1</sup>School of Molecular and Cellular Biology, University of Leeds
<sup>2</sup>School of Civil Engineering, University of Leeds
<sup>3</sup>School of Chemical and Process Engineering, University of Leeds



### Phosphorus transformations induced by microalgae

Close the broken P cycle and mitigate its effects on our environment by:



Recovering P from wastewater via:

- 1. Cost-effective means
- 2. In a bioavailable way that allows P recycling into agriculture

We believe microalgae may be a possible solution



Light microscopy of C. reinhardtii cells (400x)

TEM microscopy of a C reinhardtii cell (Yulyestorini,2016)



#### Phosphorus recovery from wastewater using microalgae







Srect Mag: 5000x

Regulation of polyphosphate metabolism in Chlamydomonas and potential for exploitation as a P sink in nutrient recovery systems. BBSRC (BB/N016033/1), £500k (2016-2020)

<sup>1</sup>Baker A, <sup>2</sup>Camargo-Valero M A, <sup>1</sup>Chu L, <sup>3</sup>Wood N, <sup>1</sup>Slocombe S, <sup>2</sup>Zuniga-Burgos T. <sup>1</sup>School of Molecular and Cellular Biology, University of Leeds <sup>2</sup>School of Civil Engineering, University of Leeds <sup>3</sup>School of Chemical and Process Engineering, University of Leeds

Zuniga Burgos L T (2019 – 2023). Manipulation of Chlamydomonas reinhardtii Phosphate overplus response for biological phosphorus recovery from wastewater. School of Civil Engineering, University of Leeds.



#### Phosphorus recovery from wastewater using microalgae



Optimised P uptake with PSR1 over expression

Slocombe S P, Zuniga-Burgos T, Chu L, Mehrshahi P, Davey M P, Smith A G, Camargo-Valero M A and Baker A (2023). Overexpression of PSR1 in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* induces luxury phosphorus uptake. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 14:1208168. <u>https://doi: 10.3389/fpls.2023.1208168</u>

#### Optimised P uptake Non-GM

Zuniga-Burgos T, Saiardi A, **Camargo-Valero M A** and Baker A (2023). A story of P overplus physiology in C. reinhardtii: the highlight of RNA and nutrients other than P (*Algal Research, under review*)

#### Carbon capture and utilisation using microalgae: CO2 sources



\*EN 17124:2018 Hydrogen fuel. Product specification and quality assurance. Proton exchange membrane (PEM) fuel cell applications for road vehicles, european committee on standardisation, Bruxelles (2018)

\*SO 14687:2019 Hydrogen fuel quality—product specification International organization for standardization (2019) Geneva, Switzerland

Green Industrial Revolution powered by biohydrogen production from waste streams



#### Carbon capture and utilisation using microalgae: CO2 sources



- C. Salomoni, A. Caputo, M. Bonoli, O. Francioso, M.T. Rodriguez-Estrada, D. Palenzona.Enhanced methane production in a two-phase anaerobic digestion plant, after CO2 capture and addition to organic wastes, Bioresource Technology, Volume 102, Issue 11.
- Yadira Bajón Fernández, Ana Soares, Peter Vale, Konrad Koch, Anne Laure Masse & Elise Cartmell • (2019) Enhancing the anaerobic digestion process through carbon dioxide enrichment: initial insights into mechanisms of utilization, Environmental Technology, 40:13, 1744-1755

**Green Industrial Revolution** powered by biohydrogen production from waste streams





#### Carbon capture and utilisation using microalgae: pilot plant





# CYANOCAPTURE

Scalable carbon capture technology

H2Boost Industrial Webinar 25th April 2024

www.cyanocapture.com



# We use energy from sunlight to convert CO2 into high value compounds that only <u>biology</u> can make.





Short of a miracle, no amount of new solar panels or wind turbines will be enough to avoid catastrophic levels of global warming.

Climate models are unanimous: as well as slashing the amount of greenhouse gases they produce, humans will also have to suck vast quantities out of the atmosphere.





### Carbon Capture is bottlenecked

Atmospheric carbon removal is too energetically costly

- Most Carbon Capture & Storage (CCS) technologies still use upwards of 1250kWh/TCO2 captured
- To meet IPCC targets of 10 gigatonne/year, this would equate to using 43% of global electrical supply every year
- Even at the thermodynamic minimum, this would use more than 4% of global energy supplies

10 GT/y\$4 trillion/y43%CCS targetCostGlobal electricity use

CDR is too expensive and slow

- \$2.34 billion spent on carbon removal for 5.8 million T CO2, averaging \$404/T CO2
- To meet IPCC targets, this would cost \$4 trillion every year
- Only 5.1% of all carbon credits sold on the market have delivered as completed projects

#### Most leading CCS technologies present a permanent cost

• Even with the <u>best case projected learning rates</u> for energy and cost, leading technologies extrapolated to the 10 gigatonne/year scale, pose a significant drain on resources that could be allocated to more effective solutions

BECCS & Biochar have feedstock shortages

• Purpose grown biomass competes with agriculture for arable land and has a major footprint at scale



#### **Carbon Capture is expensive**





### We took the <u>most photosynthetic bacteria</u> discovered...



# ... then gave it a boost.



#### OUR PROCESS





#### THE RESULT

#### We are fixing carbon capture

# £10.6m

CAPEX for 10,000 TCO2/y gross\*

- Payback period <3 years</p>
- Modularised can scale up or down accordingly

#### PROFITABLE

-£39.46

Negative LCOC minus revenues

- Profitable even without carbon credits
- Diversified, de-risked sales
- Other revenues possible from
   EV graphite materials or
   biomanufacturing

### energy efficient 247kWh

Energy use per T CO2 captured

- Net CO2 removal adjusted
- High quality MRV
- Possibility of reducing this further to 53kWh/T CO2 in the graphitisation scenario.



#### GO-TO-MARKET CO2-to-permanent removal

For a 10,000 T CO2 capture unit, 5348 T of dried algal biomass are produced annually. The overall process amounts to only 247 kWh/T CO2 captured.

Approximately 20% of the algal biomass is then sold at  $\pm 1/kg$  raw algal biomass (Range:  $\pm 1-\pm 25/kg$ ) to the agricultural industry and the remaining 80% undergoes torrefaction and burial to provide carbon removal at  $\pm 300/T$  CO2.





£96.38/TCO2\*

20% algal sales + 80% torrefaction

-£39.46/TCO2\*\*

LCOC minus non-credit revenues at 35% algal sales + 65% torrefaction

\*6315 TCO2 net removal.

\*\*4396 TCO2 net removal, profitable without carbon credits.



#### H2Boost Biology Roadmap





### H2Boost Biology Findings

- Digestate is turbid from high solid particle count. To overcome this digestate was flocculated by BDC
- Flocculant initially impacted growth then decreased after a few days
- Digestate source variability requires testing for toxic substances and required nutrient supplementation. For sample 1 &2 (Figure 1) main variation is in Formic and Acetic acid
- Toxic compounds were found in digestate: volatile fatty acids and ammonia
- A suitable digestate dilution of 2.5% in the media with supplementation of nutrients overcome growth inhibiting factors (Figure 2 Box)







	Sample - 1	Sample - 2
pH	8.3	9.68
COD (mg/L)	93508	5948
Nu	trients (mg/L)	)
Carbonates	766	2607
Phosphate	856	43.7
Ammonia	1686	1210
Nitrate	3160	32.9
Volatile	Fatty Acids	(g/L)
Formic acid	46.4	0.06
Acetic acid	16.8	0.35
Propionic acid	0.67	0.38
Isobutyric acid	0.02	0.08
Butyric acid	0.14	-
Isovaleric acid	0.03	0.09

Figure 2

### H2Boost System

- Closed 825L tubular photobioreactor (PBR) (Figure 1)
- Ancillary tanks: circulation; harvest; dilution and flocculation
- Inputs: CO2 supply (CHP); digestate; electricity & water (Figure 4)
- Final hard size of the PBR revised to fit inside 32ft container
- Optimised Light Plan (Figure 3) for artificial light
- Onsite inoculum preparation PBR (15L) built and tested for continuous cultivation. 4 of these systems built in-house (Figure 2)
- SOP is prepared and awaiting HAZOP clearance.











Figure 4

# Scalable carbon capture technology

CHANCE APTURE

www.cyanocapture.com

CONFIDENTIAL ©2024 CYANOCAPTURE LTD.



# Techno Economic Assessment

#### (structure of approach)



- Project Data Arising
- Consideration of potential performance
- Contractable qualities, volumes and prices,
  - including market outlook Feedstock & Products
- Equipment constraints (technology, supply chain and scale factors)
- H2Boost commercial scale deployment design,
  - constraints and LCA performance
- Policy Influences & Regulatory Requirements
- Hydrogen supply chain status, opportunities and constraints
- Financial modelling assumptions and projections
- Investment attitudes
- Market interest in deployment of H2Boost



# Supply Chain – Feedstock

(assumed plant scale 47ktpa feedstock)



#### Food Waste

£29 - -1/tonne 2024 (AD grade) letsrecycle.com
4.8mtpa into AD 2022
2025/6 segregated waste will yield more



*Quantities of food waste received by facilities holding relevant environmental permits from 2019 - 2022 (Waste data interrogator)* 



**Paper & Card Waste** £5-50/tonne 2024 (Merchant) *letsrecyle.com* 4.3mtpa 2022

2025/6 segregated waste **may** increase volumes



*Quantities of paper and card waste received by facilities holding relevant environmental permits from 2019 - 2022 (Waster data interrogator)* 

- Industry and associations approached
- 47ktpa supplies are available but will require a range of material qualities and related materials management

#### Market Engagement

- Likely multiple contracts
  - Input mix will need to consider inclusion of commercial food and paper mill wastes to meet input quality controls
  - Plant design for feedstock management will be influenced
- Alignment with existing AD facilities remains under consideration



# Supply Chain – Products

(assumed plant scale 70-140 tpa hydrogen)



#### Hydrogen

Government target 10GW of low carbon H<sub>2</sub> by 2030 Gas grid injection not approved in UK *Transport use nascent* 



#### Algae/Cyanobacteria > Biochar

Biochar supported in policy principles for carbon reduction BUT largest potential for use on land limited to date *Await bio-product from H2Boost to assess further* 

#### Market Engagement

#### H2

- Industry and associations approached
- Limited H<sub>2</sub> offtakers currently available in the transport sector (it is coming is the message)
- 5x9's quality (99.999% pure) H2 is required for fuel cell use with some industrial applications accommodating lower purity. There is demand at the right price = competitive with fossil origin
- Suggestions of approaching use in SAF production
- Quality, pressure, logistics and storage remain uncertain and will influence H2Boost commercial site locations

#### Biochar

- Technology providers and system operators approached
- Significant morer advanced systems currently rely on government support
- Use a soil improver the subject of field trials
- Environment Agency engaged but no regrets approach takes time

#### Biomethane

- No market engagement to date
- Assumption that gas grid injection will prevail as exist AD units



# Policy & Regulation (a wide range of influences)

Policy and Regulation Area	Policies and Regulations	Project Impacts
Net Zero & CO2	Net Zero Strategy, Carbon Budget and Carbon Markets	Low Carbon H2 specifically supported including biohydrogen with CCUS. No CO2 certificates directly available to low Carbon H2.
Hydrogen	A range of strategies, sector development plans, support schemes, and compliance requirements including Renewable Transport Fuel Obligation	Supportive and inclusive of the H2Boost system in principle Storage and logistics support is still evolving. Support schemes available for production of low carbon H2 and as a renewable transport fuel. Gas grid injection not currently supported.
Bio-methane	Green Gas Support Scheme (GGSS) extension to 2028, Renewable Transport Fuel Obligation	GGSS extension to 2028 is to allow AD to access additional food wastes but the scheme does not extend further. <b>Consultation under way for the future but presents an</b> <b>uncertainty to H2Boost.</b>
Biochar	Net Zero Strategy acknowledges potential for biochar. No meaningful regulation for application to land (the largest potential)	Uncertainty in ability to deploy the finished product other than development of inclusion in concrete
Environment & Waste	Waste segregation at source. End of Waste	Additional biomaterials will be available from net waste streams, feedstock. End of Waste presents a potential risk to technology deployment
Consenting	Planning Permissions	The lack of regulatory framework around hydrogen may hold up planners approving projects



# H2Boost – GHG impacts of Dark Fermentation for Hydrogen Production

David Turley



Copyright © NNFCC 2023

# GHG impacts of dark fermentation

- Phase 1 study
  - Examined GHG impacts based on
    - Informed estimates and expert input on biological and engineering aspects
    - Limited laboratory testing
  - New work
    - Enables full process evaluation from feedstock collection to delivery of pressurised hydrogen
    - Working to a common GHG accounting methodology across all projects



# Low carbon hydrogen standard



The UK **Low Carbon Hydrogen Standard** defines what constitutes 'low carbon hydrogen' up to the point of production.



The intent of the Standard is to ensure UK hydrogen production contributes to UK GHG emission reduction targets under the Climate Change Act



The LCHS establishes a common approach to GHG impact assessment to enable comparison of the GHG impacts of different hydrogen production processes



To meet the LCHS, hydrogen must have a final GHG emission intensity that is less than or equal to the LCHS threshold of 20 gCO<sub>2</sub>e/MJ<sub>LHV</sub> hydrogen product (@ at least 3MPa and 99.9% H2)

# Scope

#### Includes

Scope 1 Scope 2 Scope 3 (partial) (excl construction, end of life etc)

#### **Excludes**

- Distribution of H<sub>2</sub>
- Use of H<sub>2</sub>
- Emissions up to point of waste aggregation
- Use of carbon offsets









# Focus

 Assess GHG impacts of H2 production for an end to end (cradle to gate) dark fermentation demonstration process (to LCHS)

- Assess wider impacts of integration with biomethane production (from DF digestate).
- Develop an energy and mass balance model to support technoeconomic evaluation.



# Q & A Session



# Thank you

Penny Cunningham, BDC Penny.Cunningham@York.ac.uk

www.biorenewables.org

biorenewables@york.ac.uk